

EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE UNITED STATE

Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/357,957 07/21/99 **LEVY** R 01064.0011-0

IM22/1106

EXAMINER

FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW GARRETT & DUNNER 1300 I STREET NW WASHINGTON DC 20005

MEDLEY, M ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1714

DATE MAILED:

11/06/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/357,957**

Applica s

Levy, Richard

Examiner

Margaret B. Medley

Group Art Unit 1714

□ This action is FINAL. □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Queyle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire one month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Disposition of Claims □ Claim(s)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•
in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire one month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the maling date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Disposition of Claims Claim(s) 1 and 29-43	☐ This action is FINAL .	
is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Disposition of Claims \[\text{Claim(s)} \frac{1 \text{ and } 29-43}{\text{ lam(s)}} \text{ is/are pending in the application.} Of the above, claim(s)		
Scalam(s) 1 and 29-43 is/are pending in the application. Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction or election requirement. Application Papers is/are objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extens	e to respond within the period for response will cause the
Of the above, claim(s)	Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s)		is/are pending in the application.
□ Claim(s) is/are rejected. □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☑ Claims 1 and 29-43 are subject to restriction or election requirement. Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is approved □ disapproved. □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) □ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 □ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s) is/are objected to. X Claims 1 and 29-43 are subject to restriction or election requirement. Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filled on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction, filed on is bapproved disapproved. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s) is/are objected to. X Claims 1 and 29-43 are subject to restriction or election requirement. Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filled on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction, filed on is approved disapproved. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction, filed on is approved		
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on		
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin □ The drawing(s) filed on	is approved disapproved. y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been umber) e International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
 Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 □ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). ☑ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 		·
 ✓ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 		
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		Vo(s)
	· ·	40
		/40
	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, P10-152	

Application/Control Number: 09/357,957

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1, 29- 30 and 41-42, drawn to a lubricant composition consisting essentially of a superabsorbent polymer with a petroleum oil or synthetic oil lubricant or grease thereof, or fatty oil, fatty acid, or wax friction reducing material for moving surfaces, wherein the synthetic oil is being considered as a synthetic hydrocarbon oil, classified in class 508, subclass 463+.
- II. Claims 1,29 and 31-32, drawn to a lubricant composition consisting essentially of a superabsorbent polymer with an inorganic solid friction reducing material for moving surfaces, classified in class 508, subclass 113 +.
- III. Claims 1, 29, 33-34 and 43, drawn to a lubricant composition consisting essentially of a superabsorbent polymer with a solid friction reducing material for moving surfaces wherein the organic solid lubricant is a fluoroalkylene homopolymer ... copper phthalocyanine, or mixtures thereof, or soap, classified in class 508, subclass 181 +.
- IV. Claims 1, 29 and 35, drawn to a lubricant composition consisting essentially of a superabsorbent polymer with water containing a lubricant additive as a friction reducing material for moving surfaces, classified in class 508, subclass 110+.
- V. Claims 1, 29 and 36, drawn to a lubricant composition consisting essentially of a superabsorbent polymer with an oil or grease thereof and water, and a lubricant

Application/Control Number: 09/357,957

Art Unit: 1714

additive as a friction reducing material for moving surfaces wherein the friction reducing material appears to be an emulsion, classified in class 508, subclass depending on the selected type of oil or grease.

- VI. Claims 1, 29 and 37-40, drawn to a lubricant composition consisting essentially of a superabsorbent polymer with an inorganic solid lubricant and water, and a lubricant additive as a friction reducing material for moving surfaces wherein the friction reducing material appears to be a colloidal suspension, and wherein the phosphate of claim 39 is being considered as being an inorganic phosphate, classified in class 508, subclass 110 +.
- VII. Claims 1, 29 and 37-38, drawn to a lubricant composition consisting essentially of a superabsorbent polymer with an organic solid lubricant and water, and a lubricant additive as a friction reducing material for moving surfaces wherein the friction reducing material appears to be an emulsion, classified in class 508, subclass 110 +.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I-VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions I-VII are not disclosed as capable of use together. The lubricant composition friction material of each of Groups I -VII is not the lubricant compositions friction material of each other

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/357,957

Art Unit: 1714

of Groups I-VII and the search for one of the friction reducing material is not the same search

required for each of the other friction reducing materials of the separate Groups I-VII. If the

Examiner would have to search for every claimed friction reducing material, it would place an

undue burden on the office. Each of the separate Groups I-VII friction reducing material with a

superabsorbent polymer are capable of supporting individual and distinct patent invention if the

claims after searching were determined to be allowable.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for

examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Margaret B. Medley at

telephone number (703) 308-2518.

Margaret B. Medley

November 1, 2000